Rapid Cardiac MRI Using Random Radial Trajectories
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Abstract
We introduce using Sparse MRI with random radial trajectories in order to allow MRI images reconstruction from a small number of acquired $k$-space data, so fulfilling the demands of dynamic MRI. Different random radial trajectories are generated by varying the parameters of the added random perturbations to the radial trajectories. Images reconstruction is performed using the non-linear L1 norm reconstruction. Entropy is computed for the reconstructed images, as a quantitative measure for the reconstructed image quality. Both phantom simulation and real cardiac images are used in our experiments. Our results show that more sparsely sampled images can be reconstructed with higher quality compared to using non-randomly sampled radial $k$-space trajectories.
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INTRODUCTION

Patient motion during MRI cardiac imaging causes artifacts in the reconstructed image that obscure anatomical details. The main sources of these artifacts are cardiac and respiratory motion. Dynamic MRI captures an object in motion by acquiring a series of images at a high frame rate, thereby reducing motion artifacts. Dynamic imaging places conflicting demands requiring both high spatial resolution to resolve anatomical detail, and high temporal resolution to monitor rapid changes in signal. However, $k$-space sampling that obeys the Nyquist theorem usually precludes simultaneous achievement of both aims. $k$-space undersampling speeds up the acquisition by only sampling part of the required $k$-space. Sparse MRI is a fast imaging method based on undersampled $k$-space sampling and non-linear reconstruction [1]. This approach is inspired by theoretical results in sparse signal recovery [2, 3] showing that if the underlying image is compressible it can be recovered from randomly undersampled frequency data, an idea known as compressed sensing. It exploits the fact that medical images often have a sparse representation in some domain (such as finite differences, wavelets, Fourier, etc.), where the number of coefficients needed to describe the image accurately is significantly smaller than the number of pixels in the image. Uniform undersampling of the Fourier domain results in aliasing. When the undersampling is random, the aliasing is incoherent acting as additional noise interference in the image representing incoherent interference of the sparse transform coefficients. Sparsity is exploited by constraining the reconstruction to have a sparse representation and to be consistent with the measured $k$-space data. The success of the reconstruction depends on the sparsity of the coefficients and that the interference is small, having random statistics. This approach has been used with randomly perturbed undersampled spirals [4] and with randomly undersampled 3DFT trajectories [5]. It has been shown that the used non-linear L1 norm reconstruction outperformed conventional linear reconstruction, recovering the image even with severe undersampling.

Radial trajectories have many favorable intrinsic properties with respect to the demands of dynamic MRI including [6]:
1) Motion-induced artifacts result predominantly in radial streaks with only low intensity near the source of motion and reduce motion-induced ghosting. No ghosts displaced along phase-encoding direction are present.
2) The coverage of the $k$-space center in each radial line avoids contrast continuities and preserves the continuity of the process. Also, oversampling of the low spatial frequencies provides intrinsic averaging of the gross features of the subject.

3) By applying a magnitude reconstruction, a reduced sensitivity to statistical phase errors may be achieved, although the amplitude of motion-induced artifacts increases.

Random sampling may not be feasible in MR as the $k$-space trajectories have to be smooth due to hardware and physiologic considerations. Radial trajectories besides being fast and time-efficient, they result in $k$-space sampling with a variable density increasing linearly with the inverse of the distance from the $k$-space origin. Therefore, they are a good candidate to approximate random sampling due to although they span $k$-space uniformly; they are far from being regular as in Cartesian grid sampling.

In this paper, we introduce using Sparse MRI with random radial trajectories to exploit the intrinsic advantages of these trajectories with respect to the demands of dynamic MRI. Different random radial trajectories are generated by varying the parameters of the added random perturbations to the radial trajectories. Images reconstruction is performed using the non-linear L1 norm reconstruction. Entropy is then computed for the reconstructed images, as a quantitative measure for the reconstructed image quality. Our results show that more sparsely sampled images can be reconstructed with higher quality compared to using uniformly sampled radial $k$-space trajectories.

**METHODOLOGY**

The block diagram in Figure 1 summarizes the main applied steps in our work. This section describes these steps in details.

**(A) Random Radial Perturbations**

Radial lines are perturbed by adding slight random deviations taken from Gaussian distribution with zero mean and varying variances. Different schemes for the random perturbations are used in the simulations. These include 1) using constant variance along radial lines, and 2) using monotonically increasing variance with the distance from the $k$-space origin. i.e., variance is increased in areas of low sampling density and decreased in areas of high sampling density.

Due to the impracticality of pure random sampling of $k$-space, a practical incoherent sampling scheme is aimed to closely mimic the interference properties of pure random undersampling. Therefore, the simulated random radial trajectories are processed using a numerical algorithm [7] to keep the applied RF gradients amplitude and slew rate below the maximum permissible limits.

**(B) Gridding Reconstruction**

In MRI, gridding has been used routinely with respect to nonuniform, non-Cartesian sampling of the $k$-space. Conventional gridding is applied here to compare with non-linear L1 norm reconstruction using the randomly perturbed radial trajectories. The gridding algorithm is basically performed in four steps [8]:

1) Precompensate the data with inverse of the sampling density to compensate for the varying density of sampling in $k$-space.
2) Convolve with a Kaiser-Bessel window and resample onto a Cartesian grid.
3) Fourier transform.
4) Postcompensate to remove the apodization of the convolution kernel by dividing by the transform of the Kaiser-Bessel window.

**(C) Non-linear L1 norm Conjugate-Gradient Reconstruction**

Image reconstruction is performed by solving the following constrained optimization problem [1]:

\begin{align*}
\min_{x} & \quad \|Ax - b\|_{1} \\
\text{subject to} & \quad x \geq 0
\end{align*}
where $f$ is the reconstructed image, $y$ is the measured $k$-space data, $Ψ$ is the sparsifying transform operator, TV or Total-variation is the finite-differences sparsifying transform. Minimizing the objective function promotes sparsity by both the specific transform and finite-differences at the same time. $NFFT$ stands for the nonuniform fast Fourier transform of the image. $ε$ controls the fidelity of the reconstruction to the measured data. The threshold parameter $ε$ is usually set below the expected noise level. $α$ trades $Ψ$ sparsity with finite-differences sparsity. $λ$ is a regularization parameter that determines the trade-off between the data consistency and sparsity. An iterative non-linear conjugate gradient descent algorithm with backtracking line search is used, following the work in [1]. In our work, image reconstruction is done in the sparse spatial image domain, i.e., no sparsifying transform is used.

(D) Entropy Minimization

The entropy criterion, $E$, is defined as [9]:

$$E = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{B_j}{B_{\text{max}}} \ln \left( \frac{B_j}{B_{\text{max}}} \right),$$

where $N$ is the number of image pixels and $B_j$ is the modulus of the complex value of the $j$th image pixel or the pixel brightness. $B_{\text{max}}$ is given by

$$B_{\text{max}} = \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^{N} B_j^2}.$$  

When all the image energy is located in a single pixel and the remaining pixels are black. When a 128 x 128 image has a uniform brightness, $B_j/B_{\text{max}} = 1/128$ for all the pixels and the entropy $E = 621$. Therefore, entropy minimization favors high contrast. This entropy criterion favors alterations to the data that tend to increase the number of dark pixels. It has been used before as a focus criterion to remove motion-induced ghosts from low intensity regions of the image that would otherwise be dark. Entropy minimization is used here to as a measure of the reconstructed image quality.

EXPERIMENTAL VERIFICATION

(A) Phantom simulation

A 2D numerical SheppLogan phantom is used. The phantom image is designed as a linear superposition of elliptical objects, whose FTs are scaled “$\text{jinc}$” functions ($\text{jinc} = J_1(x)/(2x)$, where $J_1$ is a first-order Bessel function. $k$-space samples can thus be evaluated directly, therefore the phantom simulates realistic $k$-space sampling and truncation.

Phantom reconstruction is done using both non-linear conjugate-gradient method and the conventional gridding reconstruction. The reconstructed phantom resolution is 160 x 160. $k$-space undersampling with 8-fold is used. That is, $k$-space consists of 20 radial lines. Each line consists of 512 samples. Practically, each radial line is acquired during TR interval, which is relatively long. Therefore, the number of samples per line can be increased, as much as permitted by TR, without any increase in the overall acquisition time.

(B) MRI data

Cardiac MRI magnitude images are Fourier transformed at various generated random radial trajectories using non-uniform Fourier transform. Undersampling factors of 8 and 10 are used. $k$-space consists of 16 radial lines.
with 512 samples/line in the case of 8-fold acceleration, and consists of 13 radial lines with 512 samples/line in the case of 10-fold acceleration. The reconstructed image resolution is 128 x 128.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results of simulation phantom reconstruction with 8-fold acceleration are shown in Figure 2. It can be seen that the small ellipses, as shown surrounded by the dashed rectangle, become more resolved with increasing the variance of the added random deviations. This is also accompanied by an overall decrease in image blurring. Image reconstructed using conventional gridding shows much lower quality, compared to those obtained using non-linear reconstruction. Table 1 demonstrates the computed entropy of the reconstructed phantom at the different variances used. It can be noticed that entropy value decreases with added variance increase. Linearly-increasing variance shows entropy with slightly higher values compared to non-changing variance. Figures 3 and 4 display cardiac image reconstruction using 8-fold and 10-fold accelerations respectively. It can be seen that the fine image details become clearer with added random perturbations compared to using non-random radial trajectory. Also, as variance increases, more contrast enhancement is noticed. Even at very sparse undersampling of 10-fold, image reconstruction revealed more improvement. Table 2 demonstrates the computed entropy of the reconstructed images using non-linear reconstruction with random radial perturbations at different variances. It can be seen from the table that entropy decreases with variance increasing. Images reconstructed using conventional gridding method show lower quality compared to non-linear reconstruction.

CONCLUSIONS

Using randomly perturbed radial k-space enables more sparsely sampled image reconstruction with higher quality compared to using non-randomly sampled radial k-space trajectories. Future research should include the investigation of using sparsity transform in non-linear reconstruction of radially sampled images. Also, a study of varying the non-linear reconstruction parameters is to be done for different image models such as piece-wise varying and smoothly varying models.
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TABLE 1
Entropy computed for phantom simulation with 8-fold acceleration.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>variance</th>
<th>Entropy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>186.9193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>174.1913</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>167.6517</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>163.4419</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Linearly increasing in the range [0.5-2], slope = 0.5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>variation</th>
<th>Entropy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Linearly increasing in the range [0.5-2.75], slope = 0.75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE 2
Entropy computed for cardiac image reconstruction with 8-fold and 10-fold accelerations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>variance</th>
<th>8-fold acceleration</th>
<th>Entropy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>184.4959</td>
<td>23.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>182.0886</td>
<td>24.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>181.0794</td>
<td>25.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>179.4062</td>
<td>26.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>178.2825</td>
<td>27.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Entropy computation

Figure 1. Block diagram for the main steps employed.
Figure 2. Phantom image reconstruction with 8-fold acceleration. (a) Original image. (b) Image reconstructed using conventional gridding. Non-linear conjugate gradient reconstruction using (c) non-random radial \( k \)-space, random radial \( k \)-space with (d) variance = 0.5, (e) variance = 1, (f) variance = 2, (g) linearly increasing variance in the range [0.5, 2], (h) linearly increasing variance in the range [0.5, 2.75]. The dashed rectangle surrounds the smallest ellipses which exhibit a better resolution with variance increasing.
Figure 3. Cardiac image reconstruction with 8-fold acceleration. (a) Original image. (b) Image reconstructed using conventional gridding. Non-linear conjugate gradient reconstruction using (c) non-random radial $k$-space, random radial $k$-space with (d) variance = 0.5, (e) variance = 1, (f) variance = 2, (g) variance = 3.

Figure 4. Cardiac image reconstruction at 10-fold acceleration. (a) Original image. (b) Image reconstructed using conventional gridding. Non-linear conjugate gradient reconstruction using (c) non-random radial $k$-space, random radial $k$-space with (d) variance = 0.5, (e) variance = 1, (f) variance = 2, (g) variance = 3.